

## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and the remarks following is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-30 are in this case. Claims 1-24 have been rejected under § 103(a). Claims 24-30 have been objected to. Claims 1-30 have been canceled, without prejudice. New claims 31-60 have been added.

### **Objections to the Specification**

The Examiner has objected to the use of the word “referrer” in the specification, and has suggested the use of the word “referee”.

The Applicant believes that the specification is now free from the informalities identified by the Examiner.

### **§ 103 Rejections**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-24 under § 103(a) as being unpatentable. While continuing to traverse the Examiner's rejections, the Applicant has, in order to expedite the prosecution, chosen to cancel claims 1-24.

### **Objections**


The Examiner has objected to claims 25-30 as being based on a rejected base claim. The Examiner has noted that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Applicant has composed new claims 31-60, including new base claims 31, 42, 46, and 52, which correspond to original claims 25-28, but rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of base claim 1 and intervening claim 24.

All other claims are drawn from various features included in original claims 2-23 and 29-30.

In view of the above amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that claims 31-60 are in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of allowance is respectfully and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Mark M. Friedman  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 33,883

Date: July 6, 2004